



Drug and Alcohol Programs

Annual Report 2015

Summary: As a provider of publicly funded/subsidized outpatient drug and alcohol services, Community Alternatives must annually report to the public its progress and financial performance. As a relatively new provider of drug and alcohol services, the Agency showed a small loss for services provided in 2014-15. We expect the losses to be lessened in 2015-16.

Drug and Alcohol Revenue	\$	32,366
Salaries and Wages	\$	24,632
Taxes, Benefits	\$	1,272
Occupancy	\$	2,273
Utilities	\$	369
Supplies	\$	313
Communications	\$	520
Postage and Shipping	\$	96
Equipment	\$	298
Admin Allocation	\$	6,196
Total Program Costs	\$	35,969
Net Income	\$	(3,603)



Clinical Report:

Active clients

55 active clients throughout 2015;

13 CURRENT ACTIVE CLIENTS

Of those **CURRENTLY ACTIVE CLIENTS:**

6 - Were referred because they are taking an opiate inhibitor and are required to be in treatment as per their insurance

5 - Were referred because they are on probation or parole

2 - Were referred because they are involved with CYS

3 - Are in their 1st attempt at treatment

8 - Are in their 2nd attempt at treatment

2 - Are in their 3rd or more attempt at treatment

12 have Value Insurance and 1 is SCA funded

All are at a 1A (individual) level of care; 1 is seen more than 1x per week

10 are women; 3 are men

5 are between 20-30; 5 are between 30-40; 1 is between 40-50; 2 are between 50-60

11 are Caucasian; 2 are Bi-racial

Discharged Client Info

42 discharges in 2015

Of those **DISCHARGES:**

5 – Were successful discharges

Of those SUCCESSFUL discharges : 5 were referred because they were on probation

Of those SUCCESSFUL discharges: 5 were on their 1st attempt at treatment

Of those SUCCESSFUL discharges: ALL were in a 1A (individual) level of service; 2 were women; 3 were men; All 5 were Caucasian

Of those SUCCESSFUL discharges – 1 was between 10-19, 2 were between 20-29, 1 was between 40-49 and 1 was between 50-59

3 - Were **SUCCESSFUL WITH CIRCUMSTANCES** – they completed the program and were administratively discharged but decided to remain in treatment for added stability; of **THESE 3:** 2 never returned to treatment and 1 lost her insurance and couldn't afford the self-pay rate: Of **THESE 3:** 2 were referred by CYS (1 of which was also taking an inhibitor) and the other was referred by probation

Of those discharged **SUCCESSFUL WITH CIRCUMSTANCES** – 2 were between 20-29, 1 was between 30 -39

Of those discharged **SUCCESSFUL WITH CIRCUMSTANCES:** all were in a 1A (individual) level of service; 2 were women; 1 was a man; All 3 were Caucasian

18 - Were **NON-COMPLIANT** discharges by failing to return to treatment and not responding to contact attempts via phone or mail

Of those **NON-COMPLIANT DISCHARGES:** 6 were referred due to taking an opiate inhibitor and 9 were referred by probation; 6 were on their 1st treatment attempt; 6 were on their 2nd treatment attempt; 3 were on their 3rd or more attempt

Of those **NON-COMPLIANT DISCHARGES:** 16 were in a 1A (individual) level of service; 1 was in a 1B (IOP) level of service; 7 were women; 10 were men; 13 were Caucasian, 2 were African American, 3 were Bi-Racial

Of those **NON-COMPLIANT DISCHARGES:** 7 were between 20-29, 8 were between 30-49, 2 were between 40-49 and 1 was between 70-80,

15 - Were **NON –COMPLIANT WITH CIRCUMSTANCES**

Of those discharged **NON-COMPLIANT WITH CIRCUMSTANCES:** 2 discharged after their probation maxed and they were no longer required to be here but didn't complete their goals (1 of these also moved out of state); 3 died while in treatment; 1 was discharged after the agency lost their psychiatrist; 1 had out of county insurance; 5 were jailed; 1 developed a worsening health condition and was no longer required to be here; 1 left after her opiate inhibitor doctor told her to or he would no longer prescribe to her; 1 left to pursue a higher level of care which she had previously resisted

Of those **NON-COMPLIANT WITH CIRCUMSTANCES:** 11 were referred by probation and 2 were referred due to taking an opiate inhibitor; 1 was self-referred and the other was for other reasons

Of those **NON-COMPLIANT WITH CIRCUMSTANCES:** 3 were on their 1st treatment attempt, 10 were on their 2nd treatment attempt and 2 were on their 3rd or more treatment attempt

Of those **NON-COMPLIANT WITH CIRCUMSTANCES:** 13 were in a 1A (individual) level of service; 2 were in a 1B (IOP) level of service; 7 were women; 8 were men;

Of those **NON-COMPLIANT WITH CIRCUMSTANCES:** 9 were Caucasian, 4 were African American, 2 were Bi-Racial

Of those **NON-COMPLIANT WITH CIRCUMSTANCES:** 6 were between 20-29, 2 were between 30-39, 4 were between 40-49, 1 was between 50-59, and 2 were between 60-69

Of those **DISCHARGED CLIENTS:** 26 were Value insurance, 6 were SCA funded, 4 started as SCA funded and then flipped to Value when their insurance kicked in; 2 were self-pay; 1 was self-pay to Value once their insurance kicked in; 2 had UPMC commercial; 1 had Aetna PCO